
CH450 Literature Review Assessment Form



DEPARTMENT OF PURE & APPLIED CHEMISTRY

Student Name:		Reg. No:	
Placement Provider:			
Industrial Supervisor:		Email: Tel:	
Academic Supervisor:			

Literature Review Report Marking Scheme (this is marked by the academic supervisor only)

Title of Report:

	<i>Marks out of 10</i>	<i>Weighted Mark</i>
<i>0-10 marks</i> Technical Presentation: please review in line with the guidance below: • is the overall report clear and understandable? • is there a logical arrangement and organisation of material? • is there an absence of typing errors? • is the report written in a concise scientific manner using plain English, using past tense and written in the 3rd person? • have suitable display techniques (graphs, tables, photos, etc.) been used where applicable? • have molecular diagrams, descriptive legends, and labels been applied correctly?		
<i>0-10 marks (double weighted, therefore marks are out of 20 once multiplied by 2)</i> Scope: please review in line with the guidance below: • does the report have an adequate abstract summarising the key highlights of the report? • are the aims and objectives of the review clearly defined? • did the review cover all topics needed to introduce the topic to the reader? • was there sufficient coverage of the subject under review? • was the material presented with detailed explanations, where required? • if relevant was theory explained in sufficient detail? • was the review presented in a systematic way? • was there unambiguous identification of source material using primary, peer-reviewed published literature? • were references relevant, up-to-date, and presented at the end of the thesis in a manner consistent of a relevant journal (e.g. journal published by the ACS or RSC)?		<i>(multiply "Marks Out of 10" by 2)</i>
<i>0-10 marks (double weighted, therefore marks are out of 20 once multiplied by 2)</i> Evaluation: please review in line with the guidance below: • was a critical approach used to appraise the material presented, or was the material presented without any interpretation? • did the author provide an unbiased approach to interpretation giving evidence-based references to justify? • was sufficient detail given to justify conclusions drawn from discussions presented in the report? • did the author overview of the literature, drawing on commonly reported themes and scientific impacts? • did the author identify scientific disagreements and present possible resolutions? • did the author use evidence-based arguments that were objective (and not subjective)? • did the report provide an independent and original contribution, as opposed to supplying quotes from the published literature?		<i>(multiply "Marks Out of 10" by 2)</i>
SUB-TOTAL <i>(Maximum = 50 Marks)</i>		

Feedback Comments - *Examiners are asked to justify the marks awarded here.*

Technical Presentation – justification of marks awarded:

Scope - justification of marks awarded:

Evaluation – justification of marks awarded:

Academic Supervisor Signature:	
Date:	

The completed form should be returned to Laureen Sykes Laureen.Sykes@strath.ac.uk
Please send any enquiries to chemistry.placement@strath.ac.uk